

FRASER ANNING'S MAIDEN SPEECH IN PARLIAMENT (14 August 2018)

[The migrants] who are thought to be the least able to assimilate and integrate is Muslims. The first terrorist attack on Australian soil in 1915 was when two Muslim immigrants opened fire on a picnic train of innocent women and children in Broken Hill and Muslim immigrants have been a problem ever since.

*To paraphrase the words of **Winston Churchill**, the fact that in Mohammad law, every women must belong to some man as his absolute property, either as a child, his wife, or a concubine, must delay the final extinction of slavery until the faith of Islam has ceased to be a great power. The influence of the religion paralyses social development of those who follow it. No stronger retrograde force exists in the world.*

I believe that the reasons for ending all further Muslim immigration are both compelling and self-evident. The record of Muslims who have already come to this country in terms of rates of crime, welfare dependency and terrorism, are the worst of any migrants and vastly exceed any other immigrant group.

The majority of Muslims in Australia of working age do not work, and exist on welfare. Muslims in NSW and Victoria are three times more likely than other groups to be convicted of crimes.

We have black African Muslim gangs terrorising Melbourne, we have Isis-sympathising Muslims trying to go overseas to try and fight for Isis and while all Muslims are not terrorists, certainly all terrorists these days are Muslims.

So why would anyone want to bring more of them here?

And finally, and really something which should go without saying, we are entitled to require those who come here not only have work, useful work skills and qualifications, but also commitment to work and to pay taxes.

In truth it appears that many of those who claim to be asylum seekers are actually just welfare seekers who only come here, to Australia, to live on welfare and public housing at the expense of working Australians.

In the days of Menzies, immigrants arriving here were not allowed to apply for welfare and that attracted exactly the right sort of hardworking people this country needed.

We should go back to that and ban all immigrants from receiving welfare for the first five years after they arrive.

The final solution to the immigration problem, of course, is a popular vote.

Of course, we don't need a plebiscite to cut immigration numbers, we just need a government that is willing to institute sustainable population policy and end Australian job stealing 457 visas and make student visas conditional on foreign students returning to the country they came from.

What we do need a plebiscite for is to decide who comes here. Whitlam didn't ask the Australian people whether they wanted wholesale non-European immigration, or migration, he introduced it, and neither has any subsequent government.

Who we allow to come here will determine what sort of nation we will have in the future. So therefore this isn't the right of any one government to decide. It is too important for that.

Instead, we need a plebiscite to allow the Australian people to decide whether they want wholesale non-English speaking immigrants from the third world and particularly whether they want any Muslims, or whether they want to return to the predominately European immigration policy of the pre-Whitlam consensus.

I for one will be happy to abide by their decision.